Meeting called by: Brenda Thames  Type of meeting:  
Facilitator: Brenda Thames  Note taker:  
Timekeeper: Brenda Thames; Maurice McKinnon; Adrienne Peek; Nora Seronello; Martha Robles; Rosanne Faughn; Debra Bolter; James Varble, John Zamora  
Attendees: Not Present: Cecelia Hudelson-Putnam; Robert Stevenson Allan McKissick  
Please read:  
Please bring:  

Summary

Agenda item: Welcome & Introductions  
Discussion:  

Agenda item: Agenda Review & Additions  
Discussion: There were no additions to the agenda  

Agenda item: Charge & Expected Outcomes  
Discussion: Final draft must be completed by 7/1. Will proceed to develop narrative based upon draft of governance model/decision making process developed through combined Senate Exec, Planning & Budget and College Council meetings. Governance model will be finalized upon receipt of input and feedback from constituent groups and completion of any necessary revisions. The workgroup engaged in a dynamic discussion regarding shared vs. participatory governance to establish consensus regarding the philosophical and statutory premises that will be utilized to develop the document. (Education Code 70902(b)(7), Title 5, sections 53200 et seq., 51023.5. and 51023.7, YFA Contract, YCCD Board Policy) Discussion included reference to Participation in Decision-Making (“Shared Governance”) from Community College League website  

Conclusions: Group consensus was that the document and the college decision making process should reflect adherence to statute and the values of: responsibility, accountability, equitability, consensus building. In addition the document should capture the “essence of our collegial relationships” and be a living document. Group consensus was to entitle document “Participatory Decision Making at Modesto Junior College”.  

Action items  

✓ Read/review current document “Decision Making at MJC 08-10”  
✓ Each constituent representative begin to solicit feedback regarding areas specific to their constituency  
✓ John Zamora will email link to CC League reference  
✓  

Agenda item: Contents/Areas of Focus  
Discussion: Discussed various positive and negative aspects of sample documents and decision making models utilized at other colleges.  
Conclusions: Group consensus was to begin document with Mission, Vision, Values and Philosophy (see expected outcomes). Document should also contain structural components of draft governance model/decision making process including clearly and specifically defined roles, charges, membership and functions of each component. The document will also need to clearly define and articulate the process.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action items</th>
<th>Person responsible</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Obtain accurate list of current existing committees and membership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Information**

**Observers:**

**Resources:** Participation in Decision-Making (“Shared Governance”)

http://www.ccleague.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3359

**Special notes:** Next meeting dates: 3/12 & 3/26 3-5 pm