

**Modesto Junior College
Academic Senate
Resolution SP06-A
Academic Senate Program Review Committee**

Prepared by: Michelle Christopherson

Whereas: Faculty members derive their authority from their expertise as teachers and subject matter specialists and from their status as professionals, and as a result, the faculty has an inherent professional responsibility in the development and implementation of policies and procedures governing the Modesto Junior College program review processes; and

Whereas: Program review is one of the academic and professional matters specified by Title V, Section 53200 (b) (9), which at MJC is designated as one of the areas on which the YCCD Board of Trustees shall reach “mutual agreement” with the MJC Academic Senate; and

Whereas: The review of instructional programs should be faculty-driven; and

Whereas: The present program review process at MJC needs to be strengthened, clarified, and made user-friendly for the faculty reviewing programs; and

Whereas: The ongoing and future process of program review at MJC needs to be directed and implemented by the Academic Senate,

Therefore: **Be it resolved,** that a standing committee of the Academic Senate be created and named the Academic Senate Program Review Committee; and

Therefore: **Be it further resolved,** that the initial and immediate charge of this committee be to make recommendations to the Academic Senate with respect to the following matters:

- Definition of a “program” for the purposes of program review at MJC
- Organization of the cycle or order of programs to be reviewed
- Identification of standard items to be reviewed
- Development of standard guidelines, templates, and other documents to make the process clear and user-friendly
- Clarification of the purposes and goals for the program review process
- Other matters as needed to implement a strengthened, clarified program review process.

Therefore: **Be it finally resolved,** that the ongoing and future charge of this committee be to make recommendations to the Academic Senate with respect to the following matters:

- Evaluation of the program review process itself
- Identification of changes that need to be made in processes and documents
- Revision of guidelines, templates, and documents when necessary
- Other matters as needed to maintain a strong, clear program review process.

First Reading: Rules suspended and final action to approve taken January 19, 2006.

Final Action: January 19, 2006.

Disposition: Academic Senate Program Review Committee was formed and submitted a second draft of Program Review Guidelines to the MJC Academic Senate at their April 20, 2006 meeting. Senators will bring back input from their respective divisions to the Senate for further discussion and action in Fall 2006.

Members of the Academic Senate Program Review Committee are:

Dan Keller, Chair; Faculty Representatives: Barbara Adams, Ruth Cranley, and Jon Kropp;
Administrative Representatives: Jim Johnson, Bill Kaiser and Mike Sundquist.